European Language Resource Coordination Connecting Europe Facility

**Data Quality Indicators** 



# **ELRC Data Reports**

Dissemination Level	Internal
Validation Guidelines	6.2
version No.	
Date	06.12.2018
Name of LR	Polish-English parallel corpus from the website "Business in
	Poland" (Processed)
Resource ID	945
Resource Version No.	2.0
Contact person	Vassilis Papavassiliou
Validator	Vassilis Papavassiliou – ILSP
Validation Manager	Kanella Pouli – ILSP
Validation status	□Changes required
	⊠ Validated
	Rejected

# **1. Validation Report**

#### **Summary sheet**

The validation results for this resource are as follows (please refer to the Validation Guidelines for the meaning of the various items):

Validation steps	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
1) ELRC scope (see section 1 for details)	$\boxtimes$	
2) Quick content check (see section 2 for details)	$\boxtimes$	
3) LR Metadata (see section 3 for details)	$\boxtimes$	
4) Legal issues (see section 4 for details)	$\boxtimes$	
5) Content validation (see section 5 for details)	$\boxtimes$	
6) Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights (see section 6 for details)		

If relevant, for details about the processing of the LR, see section 2 (Processing Report) at the end of this document.



### **1.** Compliance with ELRC scope

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Data origin (comes from public institutions or relevant to the general administrative/regulatory domain and does not come from the European Commission)		
Language(s) of the data content <sup>1</sup> (not the documentation)	$\square$	

### 2. Quick content check

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Readability of files	$\boxtimes$	
Data content acceptability (no empty files, correct alignment for parallel corpora,)	$\boxtimes$	

## **3. Validation of LR Metadata**

#### a. General information

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Language used in free text fields are CEF languages	$\boxtimes$	
Does the "resource name" field contain an English version?	$\boxtimes$	
Does Language(s) in "description" field contain an English version?	$\boxtimes$	
Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing done by the provider?		
Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing done through ELRC services?		See Section 2 Processing Report
Has any conversion been performed on this resource so as to make it directly useful for training MT engines of the Automated Translation platform?		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Parallel / multilingual corpora LRs should contain English and, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish or Swedish. Monolingual corpora and terminology LRs should contain, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, English, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish, Swedish



## b. Accuracy of completed metadata with respect to provided LR

Mandatory metadata field	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Missing	Comments
names	Delieh Englieh nevellet				
Resource name	Polish-English parallel corpus from the website "Business in Poland" (Processed)				
Resource type	Corpus	$\boxtimes$			
PSI - Public Sector Information	Yes	$\square$		n/a	
License	Open Under PSI	$\boxtimes$			
Contact person – surname	Vassilis Papavassiliou	$\boxtimes$			
Contact person - email	vpapa@ilsp.gr	$\boxtimes$			
Linguality type	Bilingual	$\boxtimes$			
Lexical conceptual resource or Language description type (n/a for corpora)	n/a				
Language(s) name	En,Pl	$\boxtimes$			
Encoding level (n/a for corpora)	n/a				
Character encoding (applicable for corpora only)	Utf-8				
Size	5490	$\boxtimes$			
Size unit	Translation Units	$\boxtimes$			
Mime type	Tmx	$\boxtimes$			

Other metadata field names (to be listed if completed by submitter)	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Comments
Domain	Business & Competition,	$\boxtimes$		
	Economics,			
	Finance,			
Conformance to	Eurovoc 40,	$\boxtimes$		
classification scheme	Eurovoc 16,			
	Eurovoc 24,			
Multilinguality type	Parallel	$\boxtimes$		
Attribution text	Bilingual English-Polish parallel corpus was created for the European Language Resources Coordination Action (ELRC) (http://lr-coordination.eu/) by	$\boxtimes$		



	ELRC Consortium partner, Athena RC, from the website "Business in Poland" (https://www.biznes.gov.pl/en)		
Allows Uses Besides DGT	Yes	$\boxtimes$	
IPR Holder	Ministry of Entrepreneurship and Technology, Republic of Poland	$\boxtimes$	
Relation type and ID of related resource	Is Version Of #944	$\boxtimes$	

## 4. Legal validation

# a. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except	$\boxtimes$	
"Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in	$\boxtimes$	
the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as		
private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or		
"Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

# b. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is not ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except "Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or "Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

## 5. Content Validation

AUTOMATIC VALIDATION		
Has spell checking-based TU filtering been done?	Yes 🗆	No 🖂
Has alignment score outlier detection-based TU filtering been done?	Yes 🗆	No 🖂
Has TU length ratio-based filtering been done?	Yes 🗆	No 🖂
Have any other content validation steps been applied?	Yes 🛛	No 🗆



If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one content validation step per row (add further rows if needed)	
	Automatic content validation has been implemented as follows:
	<ul> <li>The c-eval tool (http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W15- 4924) was used to train a parallelness classifier using the DGT-TM-release 2016 datasets (https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/language- technologies/dgt-translation-memory).</li> <li>The classifier was applied on this ELRC language resource and 97.29 % of its sentence pairs were classified as of good quality.</li> </ul>

MANUAL VALIDATION					
Has manual TU validation been done?			Yes 🗆	No 🖂	
If yes, indicate manually-annotated sample percentage (in terms of the number of TUs)			< 1 %		
			1-3 %		
			3-5 %		
			5-10 %		
			> 10 %		
Has fined-grained error annotation been done?			Yes 🗆	No 🖂	
If yes, indicate error type likelihoods (if available)	Unlikely (< 10 %)	Likely (10 – 60 %)	Very likely (> 60 %)	Undetermined (untreated)	
Language identification error					
Tokenisation error					
Translation error					
Machine-translated text					
Free translation					
Character formatting error					
Alignment error					



Have any other content validation steps been applied? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one content validation step per row (add further rows if needed)	Yes	No	

### 6. Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights

No.	Options	Selected option
1	The results of this LR are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results do not contain any pre-existing rights to the results or parts of the results or to pre-existing materials as defined in the above-mentioned contract.	$\boxtimes$
2	The results of this LR and the pre-existing material incorporated in the results are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results contain the following pre-existing rights:	

#### For Option 2 complete the table below – one line per pre-existing right

Result concerned	Pre-existing material concerned	Rights to pre- existing material	Identification of rights' holder

# **2. Processing Report**

This report provides details on the processing steps carried out on the resource referred to above. This information is filled in by the same LR validator.

Processing action	Check if true	Comments
Does the processed resource originate from ELRC sources?		
Has automatic text extraction from scanned documents (via Optical Character Recognition – OCR) been performed?		
Has automatic text extraction from PDF or DOC(X) documents been performed?		
Has automatic document pair detection been performed?		
Has automatic sentence-level alignment been performed?		



Has TMX cleaning been performed?	$\boxtimes$	
Have any other processing steps been carried out? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one processing step per row (add further rows if needed)		The content was crawled by researchers at the NLP group of the Institute for Language and Speech Processing. Documents that are translations of each other were paired on the basis of their link information. After document pairing, segment alignments were automatically extracted. The dataset was provided as a TMX. The processing task included: conversion to a valid TMX (in accordance to the format specifications) ; several filters were applied to discard/annotate alignments that might be incorrect or of limited use for training MT systems.