



Dissemination Level	Internal
Validation Guidelines version No.	6.2
Date	23.11.2018
Name of LR	Parallel corpus (en-pl) from the Export Promotion Portal of Poland
	(Processed)
Resource ID	858
Resource Version No.	2.0
Contact person	VassilisPapavassiliou
Validator	VassilisPapavassiliou – ILSP
Validation Manager	Kanella Pouli – ILSP
Validation status	☐Changes required
	□ Validated
	□ Rejected

1. Validation Report

Summary sheet

The validation results for this resource are as follows (please refer to the Validation Guidelines for the meaning of the various items):

Validation steps	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
1) ELRC scope (see section 1 for details)	\boxtimes	
2) Quick content check (see section 2 for details)	\boxtimes	
3) LR Metadata (see section 3 for details)	\boxtimes	
4) Legal issues (see section 4 for details)	\boxtimes	
5) Content validation (see section 5 for details)	\boxtimes	
6) Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights (see section 6 for details)	\boxtimes	

If relevant, for details about the processing of the LR, see section 2 (Processing Report) at the end of this document.

European Language Resource Coordination Connecting Europe Facility

Data Quality Indicators

1. Compliance with ELRC scope

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Data origin (comes from public institutions or relevant to the general administrative/regulatory	\boxtimes	
domain and does not come from the European		
Commission)		
Language(s) of the data content ¹ (not the	\boxtimes	
documentation)		

2. Quick content check

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Readability of files	\boxtimes	
Data content acceptability (no empty files, correct alignment for parallel corpora,)	\boxtimes	

3. Validation of LR Metadata

a. General information

Validated Comments (check box if yes) Language used in free text fields are CEF languages \boxtimes Does the "resource name" field contain an English \boxtimes version? Does Language(s) in "description" field contain an XEnglish version? Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing done by the provider? Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing \boxtimes See Section 2 done through ELRC services? Processing Report Has any conversion been performed on this \boxtimes resource so as to make it directly useful for training MT engines of the Automated Translation platform?

Parallel / multilingual corpora LRs should contain English and, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish or Swedish. Monolingual corpora and terminology LRs should contain, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, English, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish, Swedish





b. Accuracy of completed metadata with respect to provided LR

Mandatory metadata field names	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Missing	Comments
Resource name	Parallel corpus (en-pl) from the Export Promotion Portal of Poland (Processed)				
Resource type	Corpus	\boxtimes			
PSI - Public Sector Information	Yes			n/a	
License	Open Under PSI	\boxtimes			
Contact person – surname	VassilisPapavassiliou	\boxtimes			
Contact person - email	vpapa@ilsp.gr	\boxtimes			
Linguality type	Bilingual	\boxtimes			
Lexical conceptual resource or Language description type (n/a for corpora)	n/a	\boxtimes			
Language(s) name	En, Pl	\boxtimes			
Encoding level (n/a for corpora)	n/a	\boxtimes			
Character encoding (applicable for corpora only)	Utf-8	\boxtimes			
Size	4241	\boxtimes			
Size unit	Translation Units	\boxtimes			
Mime type	Tmx	\boxtimes			

Other metadata field names (to be listed if completed by submitter)	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Comments
Domain	Business & competition, Trade			
Conformance to classification scheme	Eurovoc20 Eurovoc40	\boxtimes		
Multilinguality type	Parallel	\boxtimes		
Attribution text				
Allows Uses Besides DGT	Yes	\boxtimes		
IPR Holder	Ministry of Entrepreneurship and Technology	\boxtimes		



Relation type and ID	Is Version Of #855	\boxtimes	
of related resource			

4. Legal validation

a. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except	\boxtimes	
"Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in	\boxtimes	
the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as		
private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or		
"Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

b. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is not ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except "Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or "Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

5. Content Validation

AUTOMATIC VALIDATION					
Has spell checking-based TU filtering been done?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	
Has alignment score outlier detection-based TU	Yes		No		
filtering been done?					
Has TU length ratio-based filtering been done?	Yes		No	\boxtimes	
Have any other content validation steps been	Yes	\boxtimes	No		
applied?					
If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one					
content validation step per row (add further rows if					
needed)					
	Automatic content validation has been implemented as follows:				
	- The c-eval tool				
	(http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W15-				
	<u>4924</u>) ¹	was used to tra	ain a par	allelness	

European Language Resource Coordination



Data Quality Indicators

classifier using the Do	GT-TM-release	
2016 datasets (https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/language-technologies/dgt-translation-memory).		
- The classifier was applied on this ELRC language resource and 94 . of its sentence pairs were classifier of good quality.		

MANUAL VALIDATION							
Has manual TU validation been done?]	No	\boxtimes	
If yes, indicate manually-annotated sample percentage (in terms				< 1 %			
of the number of TUs)			1-3 %				
			3-5 %				
			5-10 %				
			> 10 %				
Has fined-grained error annotation be-	en done?		Yes [No	\boxtimes	
If yes, indicate error type likelihoods (if available)	Unlikely (< 10 %)	Likely (10 – 60 %)	Very likely (> 60 %)		Undete (untre		
Language identification error							
Tokenisation error							
Translation error							
Machine-translated text							
Free translation							
Character formatting error							
Alignment error							
Have any other content validation steps been applied? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one content validation step per row (add further rows if needed)			Yes 🗆		No		



6. Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights

No.	Options	Selected option
1	The results of this LR are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results do not contain any pre-existing rights to the results or parts of the results or to pre-existing materials as defined in the above-mentioned contract.	
2	The results of this LR and the pre-existing material incorporated in the results are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results contain the following pre-existing rights:	

For Option 2 complete the table below – one line per pre-existing right

Result concerned	Pre-existing material concerned	Rights to pre- existing material	Identification of rights' holder
			_

2. Processing Report

This report provides details on the processing steps carried out on the resource referred to above. This information is filled in by the same LR validator.

Processing action	Check if true	Comments
Does the processed resource originate from ELRC sources?		
Has automatic text extraction from scanned documents (via Optical Character Recognition – OCR) been performed?		
Has automatic text extraction from PDF or DOC(X) documents been performed?		
Has automatic document pair detection been performed?		
Has automatic sentence-level alignment been performed?		
Has TMX cleaning been performed?	\boxtimes	
Have any other processing steps been carried out? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one processing step per row (add further rows if needed)		The content was crawled by researchers at the NLP group of the Institute for Language and Speech Processing.

