





Dissemination Level	Internal
Validation Guidelines	6.2
version No.	
Date	05.09.2018
Name of LR	English-Danish Parallel corpus from Tatoeba project
Resource ID	713
Resource Version No.	2.0
Contact person	Roberts Rozis
Validator	Rinalds Vīksna, Tilde
Validation Manager	Roberts Rozis, Tilde
Validation status	☐ Changes required
	∀alidated
	☐ Rejected

1. Validation Report

Summary sheet

The validation results for this resource are as follows (please refer to the Validation Guidelines for the meaning of the various items):

Validation steps	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
1) ELRC scope (see section 1 for details)		
2) Quick content check (see section 2 for details)		
3) LR Metadata (see section 3 for details)	\boxtimes	
4) Legal issues (see section 4 for details)	\boxtimes	
5) Content validation (see section 5 for details)	\boxtimes	
6) Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights (see	\boxtimes	
section 6 for details)		

If relevant, for details about the processing of the LR, see section 2 (Processing Report) at the end of this document.

Data Quality Indicators



1. Compliance with ELRC scope

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Data origin (comes from public institutions or relevant to the general administrative/regulatory domain and does not come from the European Commission)	×	
Language(s) of the data content ¹ (not the documentation)	\boxtimes	

2. Quick content check

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Readability of files		
Data content acceptability (no empty files, correct alignment for parallel corpora,)		

3. Validation of LR Metadata

a. General information

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
Language used in free text fields are CEF languages		
Does the "resource name" field contain an English version?	\boxtimes	
Does Language(s) in "description" field contain an English version?		
Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing done by the provider?		
Is there any information mentioning Pre-processing done through ELRC services?		
Has any conversion been performed on this resource so as to make it directly useful for training MT engines of the Automated Translation platform?		

Parallel / multilingual corpora LRs should contain English and, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish or Swedish. Monolingual corpora and terminology LRs should contain, at least, one of the following languages: Bulgarian, Croatian, Czech, Danish, Dutch, English, Estonian, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Maltese, Norwegian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Slovakian, Slovenian, Spanish, Swedish



b. Accuracy of completed metadata with respect to provided LR

Mandatory metadata field names	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Missing	Comments
Resource name	English-Danish Parallel corpus from Tatoeba project (Processed)	X			
Resource type	Corpus	\boxtimes			
PSI - Public Sector Information	No	X		n/a	
License	Non-standard/ Other Licence/ Terms – CC- BY-2.0				
Contact person – surname	Rozis Roberts	\boxtimes			
Contact person - email	roberts.rozis@tilde.com				
Linguality type	Parallel	\boxtimes			
Lexical conceptual resource or Language description type (n/a for corpora)					
Language(s) name	Danish, English	\boxtimes			
Encoding level (n/a for corpora)					
Character encoding (applicable for corpora only)	UTF-8	X			
Size	16243	\boxtimes			
Size unit	Translation Units	\boxtimes			
Mime type	TMX	\boxtimes			

Other metadata field names (to be listed if completed by submitter)	Current value	Correct	Wrong	Comments
Domain	SOCIAL QUESTIONS	\boxtimes		
Conformance to classification scheme	Eurovoc			
Multilinguality type	Parallel	\boxtimes		

European Language Resource Coordination Connecting Europe Facility

Data Quality Indicators

Attribution text	A parallel corpus of English-Danish parallel sentences built from the data of http://tatoeba.org/ web site by Tilde and licensed under CC-BY-2.0 license.			
Allows Uses Besides DGT	Yes	\boxtimes		
IPR Holder	Tatoeba project	\bowtie	П	
Relation type and ID of related resource				

4. Legal validation

a. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except	\boxtimes	
"Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in	\boxtimes	
the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as		
private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or		
"Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

b. If "PSI - Public Sector Information" metadata checkbox is not ticked

	Validated (check box if yes)	Comments
"License field" value is identified (any value except		
"Under Review")		
If attribution is required, IPR Holder(s) is identified in		
the "IPR holder" field		
Privacy/Confidentiality (if the resource is identified as		
private or confidential, is "Personal Data Included" or		
"Sensitive Data Included" box ticked?)		

5. Content Validation

AUTOMATIC VALIDATION			
Has spell checking-based TU filtering been done?	Yes	No	\boxtimes

Commented [VAI]: E.g.:
Is part of #222
Is converted version of #222
Relations (from ELRC-SHARE):
Is Part Of
Is Part With
Has Part
Is Version Of
Has Version

Has Version Of
Has Annotated Version Of
Has Annotated Version
Is Aligned Version Of
Has Aligned Version
Is Related To
Is Converted Version Of
Has Converted Version



Data Quality Indicators

Has alignment score outlier detection-based TU filtering been done?	Yes		No	\boxtimes
Has TU length ratio-based filtering been done?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
Have any other content validation steps been applied?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one content validation				
step per row (add further rows if needed)				
MPFilter tool was used to remove segments with low similarity				
Corpus cleaner tool was used to remove segments with duplicate				
content				

MA	ANUAL VALIE	DATION					
Has manual TU validation been done?		Yes	\boxtimes	No			
If yes, indicate manually-annotated sample percentage (in terms			< 1 %				
of the number of TUs)		1-3 %					
			3-5 %				
			5-10 %				
				> 10 %			
Has fined-grained error annotation been done?			Yes		No		
If yes, indicate error type likelihoods (if available)	Unlikely (< 10 %)	Likely (10 – 60 %)	Very likely (> 60 %)		Undetermined (untreated)		
Language identification error	\boxtimes						
Tokenisation error	\boxtimes						
Translation error							
Machine-translated text							
Free translation							
Character formatting error							
Alignment error							
Have any other content validation steps been applied? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one content validation step per row (add further rows if needed)			Yes		No		
				-			
_							

Data Quality Indicators



6. Declaration on the list of pre-existing rights

No.	Options	Selected option
1	The results of this LR are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results do not contain any pre-existing rights to the results or parts of the results or to pre-existing materials as defined in the above-mentioned contract.	
2	The results of this LR and the pre-existing material incorporated in the results are free of rights or claims from creators or from any third parties for any use. The contracting authority may envisage and declare that the results contain the following pre-existing rights:	

For Option 2 complete the table below – one line per pre-existing right

Result concerned	Pre-existing material concerned	Rights to pre- existing material	Identification of rights' holder

2. Processing Report

This report provides details on the processing steps carried out on the resource referred to above. This information is filled in by the same LR validator.

Processing action	Check if true	Comments
Does the processed resource originate from ELRC sources?		
Has automatic text extraction from scanned documents (via Optical Character Recognition – OCR) been performed?		
Has automatic text extraction from PDF or DOC(X) documents been performed?		
Has automatic document pair detection been performed?		
Has automatic sentence-level alignment been performed?		
Has TMX cleaning been performed?		
Have any other processing steps been carried out? If yes, list them in the columns to the right, one processing step per row (add further rows if needed)		

European Language Resource Coordination

Data Quality Indicators

